IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA MARTINSBURG

CHARLES J. CHAMBERS,

Petitioner,

v. CIVIL ACTION NO.: 3:20-CV-124

(GROH)

WARDEN F. J. BOWERS,

Respondent.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Now before the Court is a Report and Recommendation ("R&R") filed by United States Magistrate Judge Robert W. Trumble. Pursuant to this Court's Local Rules, this action was referred to Magistrate Judge Trumble for submission of a proposed R&R. Magistrate Judge Trumble issued his R&R [ECF No. 16] on May 18, 2021. Therein, Magistrate Judge Trumble recommends that the Respondent's motion to dismiss or for summary judgment [ECF No. 11] be granted, and the Petitioner's § 2241 petition [ECF

No. 1] be denied and dismissed without prejudice. ECF No. 16 at 13.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court must conduct a de novo review of the magistrate judge's findings where objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge to which no objection is made. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). Failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of de novo review and of a petitioner's right to appeal this Court's Order. 28.U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Snyder v. Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91, 94 (4th Cir.

1984). The Fourth Circuit has long held, "[a]bsent objection, we do not believe that any

explanation need be given for adopting [an R&R]." Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 200

(4th Cir. 1983) (finding that without an objection, no explanation whatsoever is required

of the district court when adopting an R&R).

The Petitioner's objections to Magistrate Judge Trumble's R&R were due within

fourteen plus three days of service. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). The

R&R was mailed to the Petitioner by certified mail on May 18, 2021. ECF No. 16. The

Petitioner accepted service of the R&R on May 21, 2021. ECF No. 17. To date, no

objections have been filed. Accordingly, this Court reviews the R&R for clear error.

Upon careful review and thoughtful consideration, the Court finds that Magistrate

Judge Trumble's R&R [ECF No. 16] should be, and is hereby, **ADOPTED** for the reasons

more fully stated therein. Accordingly, the Respondent's Motion to Dismiss or in the

Alternative, for Summary Judgment [ECF No. 11] is GRANTED, and the Petitioner's

§ 2241 Petition [ECF No. 1] is **DENIED** and **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**. The

Court further **ORDERS** that the Petitioner's Motion for Judicial Notice [ECF No. 15] be

TERMINATED as **MOOT**.

This Court **ORDERS** that this matter be **STRICKEN** from the Court's active docket.

The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to mail a copy of this Order to the pro se Petitioner by

certified mail, return receipt requested, at his last known address as reflected on the

docket sheet.

DATED: June 10, 2021

GINA M. GROH

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2